

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/813,693 048331-1707 4141 11/07/2003 Stanley Tabor **EXAMINER** 12/04/2006 Wesley B. Ames SWITZER, JULIET CAROLINE **FOLEY & LARDNER** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 80278 San Diego, CA 92138-0278 1634

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Y=0.	
10/813,693	TABOR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Juliet C. Switzer	1634		

	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE:	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) Management additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,11 and 24.			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a N	ation of Annual will no	t ha antarar
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, , ,	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t doos NOT place the application is	a condition for allows	nco hocauco:
See Continuation Sheet.	, , , ,	r condition for allowar	nce because.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(P10/58/08) Paper No(s)		
		41/	
•		PRIMARY E	WITZER KAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: (a) The amendments add new requirements to the previously pending independent claims which were not present in the rejected claims. For example, claims 1 and 11 as amended require the amplified poduct is at least about 10-fold greater than the amount of template DNA put into the mixture. This limitation would require further search and consideration in view of the prior art, and further the use of the phrase "at least about" raises a new issue under 112 2nd paragraph. The newly added claims require limitations that were not present in the rejected claims as well, including limitations regarding assay temperature, reagents present in the amplification mix, and the amound to amplification that occurs. (c) Because so many new issues are raised, the amendments do not simplify matters for appeal.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The remarks address the independent claims as they are proposed to be amended. Since the amendments were not entered, the remarks are moot. The rejections are maintained.